

Microbiological and Immunological Investigation of adult patients with Chronic Sinusitis

Samira Kgeer Jreemich

Department of Microbiology/ College of Medicine Al –Qadisiya University / IRAQ

Abstract

The present study was conducted in Al-Diwaniya Teaching Hospital ENT department at the period from November 2013 to March 2014 One hundred adult patients clinically presented with chronic sinusitis were selected for this study , swabs were taken from middle meatus by special designed swab under endoscopic guidance from both patients and controls(50 healthy individuals)to identify the causative microorganisms of sinusitis. It was found that from 100 patients, only 10% have no growth while 90% yielded growth of various microorganisms of which the most common pathogens were *Staphylococcus aureus* , *Streptococcus pneumoniae* , *Haemophilus influenzae* and *Moraxella catarrhalis*. The immunological investigations (IgG, IgM, IgA, C₃ & C₄) revealed that 99 patients were immune competent while (3) patients showed some immune defect .

Introduction

Sinusitis is one of the common diseases both in developed and undeveloped countries including Iraq and it is one of the major causes for longstanding morbidity and suffering of patient.⁽¹⁾Paranasal sinuses are considered as part of the upper respiratory tract and they are composed of four pairs sinuses which open by special ducts system into the nasal cavity.⁽²⁾

Chronic sinusitis is one of the most prevalent illnesses in Iraq. it affects as many as one million Iraqi and is the principle diagnosis in almost 2% of all office visits to physicians .⁽³⁾

Sinusitis is an infection in one or more of our sinus cavities surrounding the nose. It opening to a sinus passage becomes legged a resultant infection can occur causes of this are allergies ,nasal polyps ,deviated septum ,and enlarged adenoids.⁽⁴⁾Often sinusitis occurs as a complication of a cold. it can cause a lot of facial pressure particularly in the areas of the cheeks and eyebrows. In addition it may also cause nasal discharge ,a bad taste in your mouth ,an upper tooth ache ,fever ,sore throat or cough⁽⁵⁾ Several factors ,including hypertension, diabetes mellitus ,a high intake of alcohol, preceding incident of intoxication with alcohol and smoking are associated with an increased risk⁽⁶⁾of a relation may also exist between various infections and sinusitis is a well known complication of bacterial infection other infections that are more common in the population may ,however ,also be implicated.^(6,7)Thus several case reports and studies based on a few patients have

suggested that preceding respiratory infections is a risk factor for brain infraction especially in children and young adults.⁽⁸⁾ Accurate assessment of patient outcome after sinus surgery requires the collection of valid and reliable data symptom-based surveys were administered in a prospective manner patient with chronic sinusitis.⁽⁹⁾

Results of the chronic sinusitis survey also correlated significantly with subscales of a general health assessment in the extent to which chronic sinusitis limits physical activity.⁽¹⁰⁾ interferes with work or other activities. and affects patient perception of bodily pain the chronic sinusitis survey is an efficient and reliable method to follow health status and health-related quality of life outcome in patients with chronic sinusitis.⁽¹¹⁾

Aim of the study:

The aims of this study can be summarized as follows:

- 1- Invention of new technique to obtain representative sample by using special swab under endoscopic guidance.
- 2- Determination of normal flora of the middle meatus in healthy individuals.
- 3- Isolation and identification of microorganisms responsible for chronic sinusitis in adult patients.
- 4- Determination of immunoglo

Statistical Analysis

The results were analyzed statistically by Chi-square (X^2) test at the level of significant when P-value_0.05⁽¹²⁾.

Material & Methods

Microbiological samples collection

Sample Collection

To perform any successful microbiological study the method for sample collection must be:

- 1-Easy to perform.
- 2-Representative of the clinical disease .
- 3-Collected under sterile condition .

In sinusitis the common methods of sample collection are :

- 1-Antral lavage (antral wash out)
- 2-Swab taken under endoscopic guidance.

Antral Lavage (Antral wash – out)

The procedure was done by a specialist under anesthesia (usually local anesthesia, but sometime can be done under general anesthesia). It is performed by making a small hole in the wall of sinus (usually maxillary sinus) then aspiration of sinus content is done to obtain sample for microbiological examination. After that antral wash (antral lavage) is done for therapeutic purposes.⁽¹³⁾

Swabs

It is taken from middle meatus near the sinuses Ostia where secretions from anterior group of sinuses are drained.⁽¹⁴⁾ It is done under local anesthesia by a specialist.

In this study only 10 patients were subjected to antral lavage and in the rest ,the specimens taken for microbiological study were obtained by swab method.

Micropur Swab Design

The microbiological sample was obtained by a special swab designed as follow : a (10) length of 28 gauge nichrome wire was bend completely back on itself at a length of 1 mm from the tip end to avoid accidental injury to the middle meatus by the sharp wire end .then a small wisp of cotton wool is applied at the tip to make the swab .This was immersed in a plastic tube of 12.5 cm length sterilized by autoclave . The autoclaving removes the entrapped oxygen in the swab and keep it in reduced state to increase the chance of collecting.

Isolation, Diagnosis and Identification of Microorganisms:

The result of cultivation of the collected samples appear after (24-48hours) for aerobic culture and (1-7 days) for anaerobic ,fungul cultures .After visual examination to the colonies of the bacteria and fungi ,they were identified as follow:^{(3)⊗}

1-Morphology including :

-Cultural characteristics

-Gram stain for bacteria

-Lactophenol cotton blue for fungi

2-Biochemical tests including:

Catalase ,Coagulase ,Oxidase ,Bile solubility and sugars fermentation tests)

Other test: Growth on manitol salt agar .

This test used for primary identification then confirmed by using :

The kit of Api Staph for all the *Staphylococcus* and *Micrococcus* .

The kit of Api 20E for Enterobacteriaceae and other non – fastidious Gram-negative bacteria.

Maintenance of The isolates:

1Forrapidgrowingorganisms(*Staphylococcus*,*Pseudomonas*,*Enterobacteraceae*).

-Brain heart infusion with 15% glycerol in screw capped tubes.

-Store at 20°C.

Immunoglobulins and Complement Components Determination

Immunoglobulins and complement components were determined by Endoplate Single Radial Immunodiffusion Test , this procedure is done as follow^{(4)Ⓢ}

- 1- The Endoplate and Reference (Standard) sera were left to equilibrate to room temperature.
- 2-Reference sera and patient sample mixed thoroughly by inversion .
- 3- From Reference sera and each patient sample were dispensed into the wells of Endoplate.
- 4-Firmly replaced lid, incubated at room temperature ($23\pm 2^{\circ}\text{C}$) on level surface for 72 hours.
- 5-The immune precipitin ring diameter were measured to the nearest (0.1) mm by specific ruler.
- 6- For each Immunoglobulin and complement component a standard curve was prepared by plotting the results of human Reference sera of high medium and low concentrations.
- 7-The patient sample was determined by plotting the result on appropriate standard curve.

Results & Discussion

The favorable material for microbiological examination was pus aspirated from infected sinus by antral lavage ⁽¹⁵⁾ , but this procedure is very painful ,it require cooperative patients, and it carries the risk of complications like bleeding , the puncture point of entry to the sinus must be sterile to avoid contamination ,also patient should be on antibiotic therapy (prophylactic therapy)to avoid septicemia , and must be performed under anesthesia (local or general).⁽¹⁶⁾

Therefore antral wash –out can be done only in small group of patients ,and it more practical to obtain are preventative sample by nasal swab taken from middle meatus under endoscopic guidance.⁽¹⁷⁾ Therefore in this study most of samples were collected by nasal swab done under endoscopic guidance.

Endoscopy is particularly helpful in investigation ,not only to obtain accurate sample for microbiological examination ,but in the diagnosis of sinusitis and assessment of any mechanical obstruction.⁽¹⁸⁾

Isolation of microorganisms

Control Group

The presence of normal flora in the upper respiratory tract in appropriate number is important for normal physiology because it represent a host barrier against pathogenic bacteria by competition against pathogenic bacteria for nutrient requirement, also normal flora are important to stimulate the immune system to produce proper immune

response against pathogenic bacteria.⁽¹⁹⁾ However, overgrowth of normal flora ,or its presence outside the normal sites may lead to infection ,Also normal flora may behave as opportunistic pathogens when the host immunity decline⁽²⁰⁾

In the present study (50) healthy individuals were chosen as a control group to detect the normal flora of the middle meatus (Table 1). Each one was examined carefully to exclude any upper respiratory tract infection.

From this table ,it was found that out (50) normal individuals only (30%)yielded no growth and (70 %)yielded (41) different isolates ,the most frequent microorganisms encountered were :*Staphylococcus epidermidis*(30%), *Diphtheroids* (18%) and *Staphylococcus aureus* (12%).Other members of normal flora were *bacillus spp.*,*Neisseria spp.*, *micrococcus spp.*, and *Streptococcus spp.*, while neither anaerobic bacteria nor fungi were detected as normal flora.

Table (1)

Types and Numbers of Microorganisms Isolated from Control Group

Types of the microorganisms	Individual Number	%
<i>Staphylococcus epidermidis</i>	15	30
Diphtheroid	9	18
<i>Staphylococcus aureus</i>	6	12
<i>Bacillus spp.</i>	4	8
<i>Neisseria spp.</i>	3	6
<i>Micrococcus spp.</i>	2	4
<i>Streptococcus viridians</i>	1	2
<i>Streptococcus pneumonia</i>	1	2
Anaerobic bacteria	-	-
Fungi	-	-
No growth	15	30

The presence of these bacteria as normal floras in the middle meatus in acceptance with the results of previous studies done by⁽¹⁷⁾ who found that the normal flora of middle meatus comprise *Staphylococcus epidermidis* (42%) ,*Diphtheroids* (23.7%), *Staphylococcus aureus* (14%),*Neisseria spp.*, and non-hemolytic streptococci in low percentages ,while ⁽²²⁾found that the most common flora of middle meatus were coagulase-negative *staphylococcus*(53%),*Corynebacteria* (24%) and *Staphylococcus aureus* (14%) .*Neisseria spp* .,and non –hemolytic *streptococci* in low percentage ,while ⁽¹⁵⁾ found that the most common flora of middle meatus were coagulase – negative *staphylococcus* (53%), *Corynebacteria* (24%)and *Staphylococcus aureus* (14%).Intraoperative culture of antral mucosa seems to provide the most reliable finding of bacterial flora in chronic sinusitis. ⁽²¹⁾⁽²²⁾

In the present study the isolated microorganisms were in accordance with other previous studies but differ in the reduced percentages of isolation which may be explained by the fact that most of people consumed antibiotics randomly in large

amount without consulting physicians which result in reduction of the normal flora found in the upper respiratory tract , and as shown from the table(1) ,(30%) of control group yield no microbiological growth.

Patients

The results of microbiological growth in chronic sinusitis in patients were demonstrated in table (2) and (3).

Table (2) showed that out of (100) patients (90%) developed microbial growth and (10%)yield no growth.this findings was in agreement with the results of⁽²³⁾ who concluded that (20%)of the patients had no growth ,while⁽²⁴⁾ ,found that (8%)of sinusitis patients yielded no growth. The high incidence of negative microbial growth (20%) may be related to other microbial agents like parasites ,chlamydia ,leptospira or mycobacterium ,which need special media and techniques for isolation ,which is not the aim of this study,in addition the difficulties in obtaining samples ,transportation ,and culturing techniques may increase the rate of negative growth.⁽²⁵⁾

The nature of microbial growth can be divided into two groups. Pure growth (63%) and mixed growth (27%),this agrees with⁽¹⁴⁾ who stated that in chronic sinusitis often more than one bacterial species can be found ,in contrast to what is seen in acute sinusitis.⁽²⁶⁾

Table(2) The result of the microbial growth in chronic sinusitis

Culture	No. Of patients	%
Microbial growth	90	90
No growth	10	10
Total	100	100
Pure microbial growth	63	63
Mixed microbial growth	27	27
Total	90	100
Culture	Number of isolate	%
Growth of aerobic & facultative anaerobic bacteria	116	88.5
Growth of anaerobic bacteria	10	7.7
Growth of fungi	5	3.8
Total	131	100
Classical pathogens	90	95.4
Non-classical pathogens	16	16.9
Total	106	100

The total isolates (131) which were isolated from (90) patients can be graduated as follows : aerobic and facultative anaerobes (88.5%) , anaerobic bacteria (7.7%) and

fungi (3.8%) . The bacterial isolates can be classified as classical pathogens(95.4%) and non classical pathogens(16.9%) . Classical pathogens were defined as organism that were highly pathogenic with marked potential to cause disease , while non classical pathogens were defined as organisms with lesser degree of pathogenicity , and found as part of normal flora of the skin and respirator mucous membrane.⁽²⁷⁾

Classical pathogens include : *Staphylococcus aureus*; *Streptococcus pneumoniae*; *Haemophilus influenzae* ; *Moraxella catarrhalis*; *Streptococcus pyogenes*; *proteus mirabilis*; *Kelbsiella spp.* ; *Escherichia coli* ; *pseudomonas aeruginosa* and anaerobic bacteria . while non-classical pathogens include *Staphylococcus epidermis*; *Streptococcus .viridans*; *Diphtheroids* and *bacillus spp.* These results were disagree with the results obtained by⁽²⁸⁾ who found that (31.5%) of chronic sinusitis were caused by classical pathogens and (68.5%) were caused by non classical pathogens .

Diphtheroids and *bacillus spp.* These results were disagree the results obtained by⁽²⁸⁾ who found that (31.5%) of chronic sinusitis were caused by classical pathogens and (68.5%) were caused by non classical pathogens.

While table (3) illustrated that among (100) patients the most frequent aerobic and facultative anaerobic bacteria isolated from chronic sinus were *Staphylococcusaureus*(17.5%);*Staphylococcus.epidermids*(14.1%);*Streptococcusneu moniae*(12.5%) ; *H.influenzae*(10%) and *Moraxella.catarrhalis* (10%) . Other less frequent bacteria were:*Streptococcus.pyogenes*(7.5%); *Streptococcus.viridans*(6%) ; *Diphtheroids*(4.2%) ; *Proteus .mirabilis*(3.3%) ; *Klebsilla spp* (7.5%) ; *E.coli* (1.7%) ; *pseudomonas aeruginosa* (1.7%) and *Bacilus spp* . (1.7%) . while anaerobic bacteria recovered only from (8.3%) , and include *streptococcus spp.* (2.5%) ; *Bacteriods spp.* (2.5%) *fusbacterium spp.* .(1.7%); *peptococcus spp* (0.8%) and *Veillonella spp* .(0.3%) . on the other hand fungi were found in (4.2%) and it includes *Aspergillus fumigatus*(2.5%) and *Aspergillus niger* (1.7%) .

The causative agents of chronic sinusitis include variety of microorganisms and often more than one bacterial species can be found , so it is difficult to compare the results of various studies with each other because : ⁽²⁹⁾

- 1- Different clinical samples were used to detect these microorganisms, e.g. swabs from middle meatus , aspirate by antualwas out and biopsy .
- 2- Differences in patients selection (age , duration , extent of disease pre-surgical treatment with antibiotics , etc) .
- 3- Differences in site of clinical specimens , which used to obtain culture (maxillary , ethmoidal sinuses) .
- 4- Differences in transport method , type of media , and culturing techniques.⁽³⁰⁾

Table (3)Types of Microorganisms Isolated from Chronic Sinusitis patients

Types of microorganisms	No.of patients	%
<u>Aerobic and facultative an aerobic</u>		
<u>Bacteria</u>		
<i>Staphylococcus aureus</i>	21	17.5
<i>Staphylococcus epidermidis</i>	17	14.1

<i>Streptococcus pneumoniae</i>	15	12.5
<i>Haemophilus influenzae</i>	12	10
<i>Moraxella catarrhalis</i>	12	10
<i>Streptococcus pyogenes</i>	9	7.5
<i>Streptococcus viridnas</i>	6	5
Diphtheroids	5	4.2
<i>Protues mirabilis</i>	4	3.3
<i>Kebsiella planticola</i>	4	3.3
<i>Kebsiella pneumonia</i>	3	2.5
<i>Kebsiella oxytoca</i>	2	1.7
<i>Escherichia coli</i>	2	1.7
<i>Pseudomonas aeruginosa</i>	2	1.7
Bacillus spp .	2	1.7
<u>Anaerobic bacteria :</u>		
Peptosreptococcu spp.	3	2.5
Bacteriods spp.	3	2.5
Fusobacteriumsp.	2	1.7
Peptococcus spp.	1	0.8
Veillonella spp.	1	0.8
<u>Fungi</u>		
<i>Aspergillus fumigatus</i>	3	2.5
<i>Aspergillus niger</i>	2	1.7

Table (4) demonstrated the mean values of immunoglobulins and complement with each types of microbial infection in (110) patients regarded as immune competent ,because they have normal values of immunoglobulins and complement. from this table ,we can see that there is no relationship between the type of microbial infection and values of immunoglobulins and complement ,therefore we take the mean values of immunoglobulins and complement ,

It is important to remember that local and systemic immune defense mechanisms are separate and a normal serum IgA doesn't exclude deficiency of secretory IgA in nasal mucosa ,The secretory IgA is estimated by special technique not available so some of these patients might have local immune deficiency as predisposing factor for sinusitis, and this agrees with⁽³¹⁾ who stated that a small group of patients lack IgA in the saliva and nasal mucosa , despite the normal serum levels .

Therefore ,the results of two studies cannot be identical and the results of different studies may be conflicting, but in general *Staphylococcus aureus* was found to be the most frequent pathogens of chronic sinusitis and this agrees with the results of^(32,33,34) .This is mainly because *Staphylococcus aureus* has many virulence factors and present in the upper respiratory tract specially nasal cavity as normal flora and has the potential to cause infection :therefore we suggest that if empiric antimicrobial therapy is to be used for chronic sinusitis ,it should be primarily be effective against *Staphylococcus.aureus*.⁽³⁵⁾

Another interesting points is the presence and importance of anaerobes. The frequency of anaerobes reported in chronic sinusitis ranges from (0%) ⁽³²⁾ to (100%)

⁽²⁰⁾ .The involvement of anaerobes in chronic sinusitis probably is related to the persistent oedma and swelling within the sinus cavity which reduce blood supply, ostial obstruction ,and poor drainsge ,these lead to mucociliary dysfunction ,increase PCO₂,decrease PO₂ and reduced pH ,Other factor is the emergence of anaerobic bacterial strain that possess essential virulence factors such as capsule.⁽¹⁴⁾

Table (4)Mean values of Immunoglobulins and complement with each Type of Microbial Infectious in Immune complements patients.

Types of Microorganisms	Means of Immunoglobulins and complement (mg/dl)				
	IgG	IgM	IgA	C3	C4
<i>Streptococcus aureus</i>	1504	142	212	148	24.9
<i>Streptococcus pneumoniae</i>	1389	160	197	140	30.7
<i>Haemophilus influenza</i>	1234	107	150	143	33.3
<i>Branhamellacatarrhalis</i>	1550	190	255	156	32.9
<i>Streptococcus pyogens</i>	1300	65	207	131	41.8
<i>Protues mirabilis</i>	1715	106	150	140	33.9
<i>Kebsiella planticola</i>	1603	172	237	166	27.3
<i>Kebsiella pneumonia</i>	1500	188	170	157	30.1
<i>Kebsiellaoxytoca</i>	1715	155	100	124	25.5
<i>Escherichia coli</i>	1332	130	115	124	33.2
<i>Pseudomonas aeruginosa</i>	1349	152	180	157	30.6
Anaerobic bacteria	1287	70	92	110	34.2
Normal bacteria flora	1338	130	226	145	30.9
Mixed of bacteria	1500	180	215	130	34.9
Fungi	1237	85	100	132	30.3
Normal values	844-1912	50-196	68-423	101-186	16-47

References :

- 1-AL-Azzawi AA, AL-Umeri KK .Comparison of Clinical Symptoms ,plain Radiographs ,Coronal CT .and Antral lavage in patients with Chronic maxillary sinusitis medical Journal of Babylon.:(2011).VOL8.NO-1 (2-3).
- 2-Benninger MS , Ferguson BJ,Hadley JA,etal .Adult chronic rhinosinusitis :definitions diagnosis ,epidemiology .Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg.:(2003) :129:S1-32.
- 3-Majeed HM , Isolation and identification of causative bacteria cause chronic sinusitis , Tikrit Journal of pure Science.:(2011).VOL:16(14-17).
- 4-Slavin RG.The diagnosis and management of sinusitis :Apractice parameter update.J.Allergy Clin Immunol .:(2005).116(6 Suppl):13-47.
- 5-Pozehl D, Moeller ,Riechel mann H ,etal .Distinct Features of Chronic rhinosinusitis with and without nasal polyps .Allergy.:(2006):61:1275-9.

- 6-Brook I, Gober AE. Recovery of potential pathogens and interfering bacteria in the nasopharynx and non smokers. *Chest*.(2005):127:2072-2075.
- 7-Revai K, Dobbs L A, Nair S, Patel J A, Grady J J, Chonmaitree T. Incidence of acute otitis media and sinusitis complicating upper respiratory tract infection: The effect of age. *Pediatrics*.; (2007) :119:e1408-12.
- 8-Hart RG, Miller VT. Cerebral infarction in young adults : a practical approach. *Stroke*.; (1983) :14:110-4.
- 9-Lanza DC, Kennedy DW. Adult rhinosinusitis defined. *Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg*.; (1997):117 :S1-S7.
- 10-Heatley DG, Connell KE, Kille TL, Leverson GE. Nasal irrigation for the alleviation of sinonasal symptoms. *Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg*.; (2001) :125:44-8.
- 11-Hwang PH, Getz A. Acute sinusitis and rhinosinusitis in adults. In : *Up to Date* Basow DS, editors. Waltham, MA: Up to Date.; (2011).
- 12-Niazi, A.D. (2000). *Statistical Analysis in Medical Research*. Republic of Iraq. Al-Nehrein university. P148.
- 13-Maran, A.C.D. *Logan Turner's Diseases of the nose throat and Ear*; (1988). 10th (ed.). Wright, London Boston.
- 14-Van Cauwenberge P., Ingels K.T.A.O. Bachert, C. and Wang, D.Y. Microbiology of chronic sinusitis. *Acta Oto-Rhino-Laryngologica*. (1997). 51:234-246.
- 15-Klossek, J.M. Pharm, L.D. Richet, H. Richet, B. and Beutter, P. Bacteriology of the adult middle meatus. *The Journal of Laryngology and Otology*; (1996). 110:847-849.
- 16-Weir, N. and Golding – Wood, D. G. Infective rhinitis and sinusitis. In *Scott – Brown's Otolaryngology*; (1997). 6th (ed.).
- 17-Jousimies-Sore. H.R.: Savolaine, S. and Ylikoski, J.S. Bacteriological findings of acute maxillary sinusitis in young adults. *J. Clin. Microbiol*; (1988). 26:1919-1925.
- 18-Axelsson, A. and Brorson, J.E. The Correlation between bacteriological findings in the nose and maxillary sinus in acute maxillary sinusitis. *Laryngoscope*.; (2003).
- 19-Moss, R.B. and King, V.V. Management of sinusitis in cystic fibrosis by endoscopic surgery and serial antimicrobial lavage. Reduction in recurrence requiring surgery. *Ant. Otolaryngol. Head Neck Surg*.; (1995). 121:566-572.
- 20-Brooks GF, Butch JS and Morse SA, Jawetz, Melnick & Adelbergs *Medical microbiology*; (2001). 22^{ed} (ed).
- 21-Kerr, Michale. *Flora of the nose and throat. Applications in General Microbiology*.; 2003. Edit 6: P.331-335.
- 22-Wilson, Michael. *Microbial Inhabitants of Humans*. Cambridge University press. ;2005. P.140.
- 23-Muntaz, R.H. and Lusk, R.P. Bacteriology of the ethmoid bullae in children with chronic sinusitis. *Arch-Otolaryngol Head-Neck-Surg*.; 117:179-181.
- 24-Brook, I.; Yocum, P.; and Frazier, E.H. Bacteriology and beta-lactamase activity in acute and chronic maxillary sinusitis. *Arch-Otolaryngol-Head-Neck-Surg*. (1998). 122(4):418-422.
- 25-Gliklich RE, Metson R. Techniques for outcomes research in chronic sinusitis. *Laryngoscope* ;2005;105:387-390.

- 26-Roxanne S, Lemg MD, et al. The Diagnosis and management of Acute and chronic sinusitis. ;2008:11-24.
- 27-Arseculeratne SN, Panabokke RG, et al. Lymphadenitis, trans-epidermal elimination and unusual histopathology in human rhinosporidiosis mycopathogia ;2002.
- 28-Jiang, R. and Hsu, C.; (1997). Bacteriology of chronic sinusitis after Ampicillin therapy. American Journal of Rhinology. 11:467-471 .
:57-69.
- 29-Christine R. Sinusitis disease management project. Cleveland Clinic Retrieved; 2012. November 26.
- 30-Raymond G, Salvin MD, et al. The diagnosis and management of sinusitis :A practice parameter Update; 2005 Vol 116:S13-S47.
- 31-Stanley .P.I. and Cole, P.I.; (1985). The Concentration of IgA and free secretory piece in the nasal secretions of patients with recurrent respiratory infections. Clinical and Experimental Immunology.
59:197-202.
- 32-Doyle, P.W. and Woodham, J.D.; (1991). Evaluation of the microbiology of chronic ethmoid sinusitis. J. Clin. Microbiol., 29:2396-2400.
- 33-Ramadan, H.H.; (1995) What is the Bacteriology of Chronic Sinusitis in Adults. American Journal of Otolaryngology, 16:303-306.
- 34-Sener, B. Hascelik, G. Onerci, M. and Tunckanat, F.; (1996). Evaluation of the microbiology of chronic sinusitis. The journal of Laryngology and Otology. 110:547-550.
- 35-Wald ER : Staphylococcus aureus is it apathogen of Chronic & acute bacterial sinusitis in children and adults. Clin infect Dis ;2012. 54 (6):826-831.

الخلاصة

هذه الدراسة الحالية في مستشفى الديوانية التعليمي (قسم الأنف والاذن والحنجرة) للمدة من تشرين الثاني 2013 لغاية آذار 2014 تم اختيار 100 مريض بالغ مصابين سريريا بالتهاب جيوب الأنفية المزمن والذين أخذت المسحات من القناة الوسطى بواسطة مسحات مصممة خصيصا تحت مرشد تنظير باطني من كلا المرضى ومجموعة السيطرة (50) فرد صحي لتوصيف الجراثيم المسببة لالتهاب الجيوب الانفية المزمن، إذ وجد ان من 100 مريض، كانت فقط 10% مسحاتهم ليس فيها نمو بينما 90% أعطت نمو لجراثيم متنوعة وكانت معظمها ممرضات شائعة تمثلت بأجناس *Staphylococcus aureus*, *Streptococcus pneumoniae*, *Haemophilus influenzae* and *Moraxella catarrhalis*. أظهرت (99) مريض كانوا سليمين مناعيا بينما 3 مرضى اظهروا خلا مناعيا.