



Identification Functions in Hexa Topological Spaces

Asmaa S. Qaddoori

Department of Mathematics, College of Education for women, Tikrit University, Tikrit, Iraq

DOI: <http://dx.doi.org/10.25130/tjps.26.2021.098>

ARTICLE INFO.

Article history:

-Received: 11 / 8 / 2021

-Accepted: 1 / 9 / 2021

-Available online: / / 2021

Keywords: α_h -open set, p_h -open set, h_h -open set, β_h -open set, α_h -identification, p_h -identification, h_h -identification, and β_h -identification.

Corresponding Author:

Name: Asmaa S. Qaddoori

E-mail: asmaasalih@tu.edu.iq

Tel:

ABSTRACT

The fundamental point of the current work is to concentrate on new definitions of $(\alpha_h, p_h, h_h, \beta_h)$ open sets to generalize identification functions in Hexa topological spaces called $(\alpha_h, p_h, h_h, \beta_h)$ identification functions and may relationship between them were discussed and proved with examples.

1. Introduction and Preliminaries

An α (pre, b, β) open sets have been introduced and investigated by O.Njasted who knows the α open set and studied α -continuous and α -irresolute [10,3,13], Mashhour [2,3] introduced and studied the concept pre-open set, pre-continuous and pre-irresolute in topological space. While Andrijevic [4] presented b-open set and studied its characteristics b-continuous and b-irresolute. El-Monsef [8,12] introduced the ideal of β -open set and β -continuous, so studied its characteristics β -irresolute by Maheshwair and Thakur [13].

The single topology is extended to bi-topological space, tri-topological space, quad-topological space, penta-topological space [5,6,7,9] and Hexa-topological space by R.V.Chandra, et.al, introduced and investigated the notion of h -open sets in h -topological spaces [11]. Also studied some types of functions of Hexa topological spaces [1]. Al-kutabi [12] introduced and studied some weak identification functions. Present work we study the concepts of the different types of identifications functions and discuss their relation. Moreover we investigate the relationship between these identification functions types and other types. In this paper, we will use the expressions, $\mathcal{N}$ and $\mathcal{Y}$ to denote topological spaces $(M, \mathcal{N}_{h1})$, $(\mathcal{N}, \mathcal{N}_{h2})$ and $(Y, \mathcal{N}_{h3})$ respectively, for subset $\mathcal{A}$ of space $(M, \mathcal{N}_h)$ with int

$(\mathcal{A})$, and $cl(\mathcal{A})$ denoting the interior and closure of set $\mathcal{A}$. Subset $\mathcal{A}$ of space M is said to be:

1. α -open set [10] if $\mathcal{A} \subseteq \text{int}(\text{cl}(\text{int}(\mathcal{A})))$. Hence, $\mathcal{A}^c$ is called α -closed.
2. pre-open set [2,3] if $\mathcal{A} \subseteq \text{int}(\text{cl}(\mathcal{A}))$. Hence, $\mathcal{A}^c$ is called pre-closed.
3. β -open set [4] if $\mathcal{A} \subseteq \text{cl}(\text{int}(\text{cl}(\mathcal{A})))$. Hence, $\mathcal{A}^c$ is called β -closed.
4. b-open set [8] if $\mathcal{A} \subseteq (\text{cl}(\text{int}(\mathcal{A})) \cup \text{int}(\text{cl}(\mathcal{A})))$. Hence $\mathcal{A}^c$ is called b-closed.

Definition 1.1 [11]

Let M be a non empty set and $\tau_1, \tau_2, \tau_3, \tau_4, \tau_5, \tau_6$ are general topology on M . Then a subset $\mathcal{A}$ of space X is said to be hexa-open (h -open) set if $\mathcal{A} \in \bigcup_{i=1}^6 \tau_i$ and its complement is said to be h -closed set and the set with six topologies called $(M, \tau_1, \tau_2, \tau_3, \tau_4, \tau_5, \tau_6)$ Hexa Topology and $(M, \mathcal{N}_h)$ for Hexa Topological Space (h -topological) where $\mathcal{N}_h = (\tau_1, \tau_2, \tau_3, \tau_4, \tau_5, \tau_6)$. And Hexa-open sets satisfy all the axioms of topology.

Definition 1.2 [11]

If $(M, \mathcal{N}_h)$ is a h -topological and $F \subseteq M$. Then

1. The h -interior of F is the union of all h -open subset contained in F and is denoted by $\text{int}(F)_h$. So be $\text{int}(F)_h$ is the largest h -open subset of F

2. The h_- closure of E is the intersection of all h_- -closed sets containing E and is denoted by $cl(E)_h$. So be $cl(E)_h$ is the smallest h_- -closed set containing E .

2. Some Types of Hexa Open Sets

Definition 2.1

A subset $\mathcal{A}$ of space $(M, \mathfrak{T}_h)$ is said to be:

- 1- Hexa α_- open set (α_h -open) if $\mathcal{A} \subseteq \text{int}_h(\text{cl}_h(\text{int}_h(\mathcal{A})))$. Hence $\mathcal{A}^c$ is called α_h -closed set.
- 2- Hexa pre-open set (p_h -open) if $\mathcal{A} \subseteq \text{int}_h(\text{cl}_h(\mathcal{A}))$. Hence $\mathcal{A}^c$ is called p_h -closed set.
- 3- Hexa β_- open set (β_h -open) if $\mathcal{A} \subseteq \text{cl}_h(\text{int}_h(\text{cl}_h(\mathcal{A})))$. Hence $\mathcal{A}^c$ is called β_h -closed set.
- 4- Hexa b_- open set ($\mathfrak{h}_h$ -open) if $\mathcal{A} \subseteq (\text{cl}_h(\text{int}_h(\mathcal{A})) \cup \text{int}_h(\text{cl}_h(\mathcal{A})))$. Hence $\mathcal{A}^c$ called $\mathfrak{h}_h$ -closed set.[11] The family of all $(\alpha_h, p_h, \beta_h, \mathfrak{h}_h)$ open sets is denoted by $\alpha hO(M), p hO(M), \beta hO(M), \mathfrak{h} hO(M)$ respectively.

Proposition 2.2 These statements hold true:

- 1- Every h_- open set is a α_h -open set.
- 2- Every α_h -open set is a p_h -open set.
- 3- Every p_h -open set is a $\mathfrak{h}_h$ -open set.
- 4- Every $\mathfrak{h}_h$ -open set is a β_h -open set.

Proof : The proof is obvious.

Remark 2.3 The converse of the proposition above is not true.

By definition 2.1, present the following diagram that illustrates the relationship between the types of h_- open sets.

$$h_- \text{ open set} \rightarrow \alpha_h \text{ open set} \rightarrow p_h \text{ open set} \rightarrow \mathfrak{h}_h \text{ open set} \rightarrow \beta_h \text{ open set}$$

Diagram (1)

Example 2.4 Let $\mathfrak{T}_1 = \{M, \emptyset, \{a, b\}\}$, $\mathfrak{T}_2 = \{M, \emptyset, \{a, c\}, \{b\}, \{a, b, c\}\}$, $\mathfrak{T}_3 = \{M, \emptyset, \{a\}, \{b, c, d\}\}$, $\mathfrak{T}_4 = \{M, \emptyset, \{c\}, \{a, c, d\}\}$, $\mathfrak{T}_5 = \{M, \emptyset, \{c, d\}\}$ and $\mathfrak{T}_6 = \{M, \emptyset, \{a, b, c, d\}\}$. then $\mathfrak{T}_h = \{M, \emptyset, \{a\}, \{b\}, \{a, c\}, \{c, d\}, \{a, b\}, \{a, b, c\}, \{a, b, c, d\}\}$ on $M = \{a, b, c, d\}$.

A subset $\mathcal{A}$ of M , is called h -openset if $\mathcal{A} \in \bigcup_{i=1}^6 \tau_i$. The family of all h -open (h -closed) sub sets of $(M, \mathfrak{T}_h)$ will be denoted by $(hO(M)), (hC(M))$, then

$hO(M) = \{M, \emptyset, \{a\}, \{b\}, \{a, c\}, \{c, d\}, \{a, b\}, \{a, b, c\}, \{a, b, c, d\}\}$, its satisfy all the axioms of topology, $hC(M) = \{\emptyset, M, \{a, b, e\}, \{b, d, e\}, \{c, d, e\}, \{a, c, d, e\}, \{e\}, \{d, e\}, \{b, c, d, e\}\}$. And the results 1- $\{b, c, d\}$ is α_h -open set but its not h -open set

- 2- $\{c\}$ is p_h -open set but its not α_h -open set
- 3- $\{a, b, e\}$ is $\mathfrak{h}_h$ -open set but its not p_h -open set.
- 4- $\{c, e\}$ is β_h -open set but its not $\mathfrak{h}_h$ -open set.

Theorem 2.5 Let $\mathcal{B}$ and $\mathcal{A}$ be subset of $\mathcal{M}$ such that $\mathcal{A} \subseteq \mathcal{B} \subseteq \text{int}(\mathcal{A})_h$, if $\mathcal{A}$ is $\alpha_h(p_h, \mathfrak{h}_h, \beta_h)$ open set then $\mathcal{B}$ is also $\alpha_h(p_h, \mathfrak{h}_h, \beta_h)$ open set.

Proof. suppose that $\mathcal{A}$ is p_h -open set, we have $\mathcal{A} \subseteq \text{int}(\text{cl}(\mathcal{A})_h)_h \subseteq \text{int}(\text{cl}(\mathcal{B})_h)_h$, so $\text{int}(\mathcal{A})_h \subseteq \text{int}(\text{cl}(\mathcal{B})_h)_h$. Then $\mathcal{B}$ is also p_h -open set.

Theorem 2.6 The h -closed set in $h_{\text{topological}}$ is $\alpha_h(p_h, \mathfrak{h}_h, \beta_h)$ closed set in $h_{\text{topological}}$.

Proof. we prove the case p_h -closed set

Let $\mathcal{B}$ be a h -closed subset of $\mathcal{M}$. Thus $\mathcal{B}^c$ is h -openset, as long $\mathcal{B}^c \subseteq \text{cl}(\mathcal{B}^c)_h \rightarrow \text{int}(\mathcal{B}^c)_h \subseteq \text{int}(\text{cl}(\mathcal{B}^c)_h)_h$, we get $\mathcal{B}^c \subseteq \text{int}(\text{cl}(\mathcal{B}^c)_h)_h$. Hence $\mathcal{B}^c$ is p_h -open set, thus $\mathcal{B}$ is p_h -closed set.

Definition 2.7 : Let f be a function of space $\mathcal{M}$ into space $\mathcal{N}$. Then

- 1- f is called an h -open (h -closed) function if the image of each h -open (h -closed) set in $\mathcal{M}$ is an h -open (h -closed) set in $\mathcal{N}$.
- 2- f is called α_h -open function if the image of each α_h -open set in $\mathcal{M}$ is α_h -open set in $\mathcal{N}$
- 3- f is called p_h -open function if the image of each p_h -open set in $\mathcal{M}$ is p_h -open set in $\mathcal{N}$
- 4- f is called $\mathfrak{h}_h$ -open function if the image of each $\mathfrak{h}_h$ -open set in $\mathcal{M}$ is $\mathfrak{h}_h$ -open set in $\mathcal{N}$
- 5- f is called β_h -open function if the image of each β_h -open set in $\mathcal{M}$ is β_h -open set in $\mathcal{N}$

Remark 2.8 The diagram below holds for functions

$$h_- \text{ open fun.} \rightarrow \alpha_h \text{ open fun.} \rightarrow p_h \text{ open fun.} \rightarrow \mathfrak{h}_h \text{ open fun.} \rightarrow \beta_h \text{ open fun.}$$

Diagram (2)

“the following examples, the converse of these implications is not true in general.”

Definition 2.9 A function $f : (M, \mathfrak{T}_{h1}) \rightarrow (\mathcal{N}, \mathfrak{T}_{h2})$ is called

1. h -continuous function if f^{-1} of any h -open set in $\mathcal{N}$ is an h -open set in M [11].
2. α_h -continuous function if f^{-1} of any h -open set in $\mathcal{N}$ is α_h -open set in M
3. p_h -continuous function if f^{-1} of any h -open set in $\mathcal{N}$ is p_h -open set in M .
4. $\mathfrak{h}_h$ -continuous function if f^{-1} of any h -open set in $\mathcal{N}$ is $\mathfrak{h}_h$ -open set in M
5. β_h -continuous function if f^{-1} of any h -open set in $\mathcal{N}$ is β_h -open set in M .

Remark 2.10 The diagram below shows the relationship between continuous function.

$$h_- \text{ continuous} \rightarrow \alpha_h \text{ continuous} \rightarrow p_h \text{ continuous} \rightarrow \mathfrak{h}_h \text{ continuous} \rightarrow \beta_h \text{ continuous}$$

Diagram (3)

“In general, the converse of these implications and the following examples. are not true.”

Example 2.11 From example 2.4 became

$\mathfrak{T}_h = \{M, \emptyset, \{a\}, \{b\}, \{a, c\}, \{c, d\}, \{a, b\}, \{a, b, c\}, \{a, b, c, d\}\}$ on $M = \{a, b, c, d\}$. Then

1. $f : M \rightarrow M$ defined by $f(a) = c$, $f(b) = b$, $f(c) = a$, $f(d) = d$, $f(e) = e$, is p_h -continuous function but not α_h -cont.

2. $f : M \rightarrow M$ defined by $f(a) = a, f(b) = b, f(c) = c, f(d) = d, f(e) = b$, is $\mathfrak{h}_h$ -cont. but not $\mathfrak{p}_h$ -cont.

3. $f : M \rightarrow M$ defined by $f(a) = c, f(b) = e, f(c) = a, f(d) = d, f(e) = b$, is β_h -cont. but not $\mathfrak{h}_h$ -cont.

Definition 2.12 Let f be a function of space M into space $\mathcal{N}$, Then

1. α_h -irresolute function if f^{-1} of any α_h -open set in $\mathcal{N}$ is α_h -open set in M .

2. $\mathfrak{p}_h$ -irresolute function if f^{-1} of any $\mathfrak{p}_h$ -open set in $\mathcal{N}$ is $\mathfrak{p}_h$ -open set in M .

3. $\mathfrak{h}_h$ -irresolute function if f^{-1} of any $\mathfrak{h}_h$ -open set in $\mathcal{N}$ is $\mathfrak{h}_h$ -open set in M .

4. β_h -irresolute function if f^{-1} of any β_h -open set in $\mathcal{N}$ is β_h -open set in M .

We get the relationship between irresolute function
 α -irresolute $\rightarrow \mathfrak{p}_h$ -irresolute $\rightarrow \mathfrak{h}_h$ -irresolute
 $\rightarrow \beta_h$ -irresolute

Diagram (4)

Example 2.13 From example 2.4 .Let

$\mathfrak{T}_{h1} = \{M, \emptyset, \{a\}, \{b\}, \{a, c\}, \{c, d\}, \{a, b\}, \{a, b, c\}, \{a, b, c, d\}\}$ on $M = \{a, b, c, d\}$. Then

1. $f : M \rightarrow M$ defined by $f(a) = a, f(b) = c, f(c) = b, f(d) = d, f(e) = e$; is $\mathfrak{p}_h$ -irresol. and not α_h -irresol.

2. $f : M \rightarrow M$ defined by $f(a) = d, f(b) = b, f(c) = e, f(d) = d, f(e) = c$; is $\mathfrak{h}_h$ -irresol. and not $\mathfrak{p}_h$ -irresol.

3. $f : M \rightarrow M$ defined by $f(a) = c, f(b) = b, f(c) = a, f(d) = e, f(e) = d$ is β_h -irresol. and not $\mathfrak{h}_h$ -irresol.

3. Identifications Function in Hexa Topological Spaces

In this section we introduce new definitions of identification function by using $(\alpha_h, \mathfrak{p}_h, \mathfrak{h}_h, \beta_h)$ open sets. Further we study the relations between these.

Definition 3.1

A function $f : (M, \mathfrak{T}_{h1}) \rightarrow (\mathcal{N}, \mathfrak{T}_{h2})$ is called α -identification function iff f is surjective, and one of "these conditions is satisfied:"

1- W is α_h -open set in $\mathcal{N}$ iff $f^{-1}(w)$ is α_h -open set in M .

2- W is α_h -closed set in $\mathcal{N}$ iff $f^{-1}(w)$ is α_h -closed set in M .

Example

3.2

Let

$\mathfrak{T}_{h1} = \{M, \emptyset, \{b\}, \{b, c\}, \{a, b\}, \{a, b, c\}\}$ on $M = \{a, b, c, d\}$ and $\mathfrak{T}_{h2} = \{\mathcal{N}, \emptyset, \{1, 2\}, \{2\}, \{2, 3\}, \{1, 2, 3\}\}$ on $\mathcal{N} = \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$

If $f : M \rightarrow \mathcal{N}$ defined by $f(a) = 1, f(b) = 2, f(c) = 3, f(d) = 4$, then f is under α -identification function.

Theorem 3.3

Every α_h -irresolute function and α_h -open $(\alpha_h$ -closed) surjective functions is α_h -identification function.

Proof. Suppose that $f : (M, \mathfrak{T}_{h1}) \rightarrow (\mathcal{N}, \mathfrak{T}_{h2})$ and $f(W)$ is α_h -open set

since f is surjective and α_h -open set. Hence $f(f^{-1}(w)) = w$ is α_h -open set and $W \subseteq \mathcal{N}$. Since f is α_h -irresolute function and $f^{-1}(w)$ is α_h -open set in M , then f falls under α_h -identification function.

Definition 3.4

A surjective function $f : (M, \mathfrak{T}_{h1}) \rightarrow (\mathcal{N}, \mathfrak{T}_{h2})$ is called $\mathfrak{p}_h$ -identification function and W is $\mathfrak{p}_h$ -open set in $\mathcal{N}$ iff $f^{-1}(w)$ is $\mathfrak{p}_h$ -open set in M .

Result 3.5

Every α_h -identification function as $\mathfrak{p}_h$ -identification function but the reverse is not true.

Form example 3.2L

et $\mathfrak{T}_{h1} = \{M, \emptyset, \{c, d\}, \{b\}, \{b, c, d\}, \{a, b\}, \{a, b, c, d\}\}$ on $M = \{a, b, c, d, e\}$ and $\mathfrak{T}_{h2} = \{\mathcal{N}, \emptyset, \{1, 2\}, \{2\}, \{2, 3\}, \{1, 2, 3\}\}$ on $\mathcal{N} = \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$. If $f : M \rightarrow \mathcal{N}$ defined by $f(a) = 1, f(b) = 2, f(c) = 3, f(d) = 4$. Then f is under $\mathfrak{p}_h$ -identification function.

Lemma 3.6

Let surjective function $f : (M, \mathfrak{T}_{h1}) \rightarrow (\mathcal{N}, \mathfrak{T}_{h2})$ be called $\mathfrak{p}_h$ -identification function and W is $\mathfrak{p}_h$ -closed set in $\mathcal{N}$ iff $f^{-1}(w)$ is $\mathfrak{p}_h$ -closed set in M .

Proposition 3.7

Every $\mathfrak{p}_h$ -irresolute function and $\mathfrak{p}_h$ -open $(\mathfrak{p}_h$ -closed) surjective functions are $\mathfrak{p}_h$ -identification function.

Proof. Since every α_h -function is $\mathfrak{p}_h$ -function and every α_h -irresolute is $\mathfrak{p}_h$ -irresolute. Then by theorem (3.3) obtain every α_h -identification function is $\mathfrak{p}_h$ -identification function.

Definition 3.8

"A surjective function $f : (M, \mathfrak{T}_{h1}) \rightarrow (\mathcal{N}, \mathfrak{T}_{h2})$ called $\mathfrak{h}_h$ -identification function and one of the following conditions is satisfied"

1) W is $\mathfrak{h}_h$ -open set in $\mathcal{N}$, iff $f^{-1}(w)$ is $\mathfrak{h}_h$ -open set in M .

2) W is $\mathfrak{h}_h$ -closed set in $\mathcal{N}$ iff $f^{-1}(w)$ is $\mathfrak{h}_h$ -closed set in M .

"From Diagram (1), if every $\mathfrak{p}_h$ -open set is $\mathfrak{h}_h$ -open set, then each $\mathfrak{p}_h$ -identification function is $\mathfrak{h}_h$ -identification function."

Example 3.9

From example 3.2
 If $f : M \rightarrow M$ defined by $f(a) = a, f(b) = b, f(c) = d, f(d) = c$, then f is $\mathfrak{h}_h$ -identification function but not $\mathfrak{p}_h$ -identification function.

Proposition 3.10

Let $f : (M, \mathfrak{T}_{h1}) \rightarrow (\mathcal{N}, \mathfrak{T}_{h2})$ be surjective function $\mathfrak{h}_h$ -open $(\mathfrak{h}_h$ -closed) and $\mathfrak{h}_h$ -irresolute function, then f is $\mathfrak{h}_h$ -identification function.

Proposition 3.11

The composition of two α_h -identification ($\mathfrak{p}_h$ -identification, $\mathfrak{h}_h$ -identification)

functions α_h -identification(p_h -identification, h_h -identification) functions.

Proof. Suppose that $f: (M, \mathfrak{S}_{h1}) \rightarrow (N, \mathfrak{S}_{h2})$ and $g: (N, \mathfrak{S}_{h2}) \rightarrow (Y, \mathfrak{S}_{h3})$ are α_h -identification function, whenever the compositions of two onto functions are surjective. If W be any α_h -open set in $\mathfrak{S}_{h3}$, by hypothesis, g and f are α_h -identifications function, then $g^{-1}(W)$ is α_h -open set in N and we get $f^{-1}(g^{-1}(W)) = (g \circ f)^{-1}(W)$ is α_h -open in M and W is α_h -open set in M . Then $g \circ f$ is α_h -identification function. Similarly that $g \circ f$ is $(p_h$ -identification, h_h -identification) functions.

Proposition 3.12

Let $f: (M, \mathfrak{S}_{h1}) \rightarrow (N, \mathfrak{S}_{h2})$ and $g: (N, \mathfrak{S}_{h2}) \rightarrow (Y, \mathfrak{S}_{h3})$ be functions and f be α_h -identification (p_h -identification, h_h -identification) functions. Then the following statements are valid

- 1- If $g \circ f$ is α_h -cont. (p_h -cont., h_h -cont.) functions, then g is α_h -cont. (p_h -cont., h_h -cont.) function.
- 2- If $g \circ f$ is α_h -irresolute (p_h -irresolute, h_h -irresolute) functions, then g is α_h -irresolute (p_h -irresolute, h_h -irresolute) function.

Proof

1) Let $g \circ f: (M, \mathfrak{S}_{h1}) \rightarrow (Y, \mathfrak{S}_{h3})$ be α_h -cont. and assume that k is any h -open set in Y . Let $V = g^{-1}(k)$ and $W = f^{-1}(V)$. Whenever $g \circ f^{-1}(k) = f^{-1}(g^{-1}(k)) = W$ is α_h -open in M , then $g \circ f^{-1}(k)$ is α_h -open set in M but f is α_h -identification function. Therefore V is α_h -open set in N . As $g^{-1}(k)$ is α_h -open set in N , then g is α_h -cont.

2) Assume that k is any α_h -open set in Y , Let $V = g^{-1}(k)$ and $W = f^{-1}(V)$, we have $g \circ f^{-1}(k) = f^{-1}(g^{-1}(k)) = W$ that is W is α_h -open set in M , we obtain $g \circ f^{-1}(k)$ is α_h -open set in M , since f is α_h -identification function. Then V is α_h -open set in N , whenever $f^{-1}(k)$ is α_h -open set in N . we get g is α_h -irresolute function.

Definition 3.13

Let function $f: (M, \mathfrak{S}_{h1}) \rightarrow (N, \mathfrak{S}_{h2})$ be surjective β_h -identification function and W is β_h -open set in N iff $f^{-1}(W)$ is β_h -open set in M .

From diagram (1) we obtain every h_h -identification function as β_h -identification function, but the converse is not true. For instance 3.2 let $f: M \rightarrow M$ be defined by $f(a) = a$, $f(b) = b$, $f(c) = e$, $f(d) = d$, $f(e) = c$ then f is h_h -identification function but not p_h -identification function.

Proposition 3.14

Let function $f: M \rightarrow N$ be surjective β_h -identification function and W is β_h -open set in N iff $f^{-1}(W)$ is β_h -closed set in M .

Proof. Let W be β_h -closed subset of N , then W^c is β_h -open in N , since f is β_h -identification function, we get $f^{-1}(W)$ is β_h -closed set in M (because f is

onto and $(f^{-1}(W))^c = f^{-1}(W^c)$ is β_h -open set in M). Similarly if $f^{-1}(W)$ is β_h -closed set in M , we obtain that $f^{-1}(W)^c = f^{-1}(W^c)$ is β_h -open set in M and f is β_h -identification function, hence W is β_h -closed set in N , since W be β_h -open set in M . Then W^c is β_h -closed set in N , whenever $(f^{-1}(W))^c = f^{-1}(W^c)$ is β_h -closed set in M , we get $f^{-1}(W)$ is β_h -open set in M . Then W^c is β_h -closed set and W is β_h -open set.

Proposition 3.15

Let surjective function $f: M \rightarrow N$ be β_h -open (β_h -closed) and β_h -irresolute. Then f is β_h -identification function.

Proof. Suppose that W is β_h -closed set in M and $W \subseteq N$ such that $f^{-1}(W)$ is β_h -closed set in M , whenever $(f(f^{-1}(W))) = W$, we obtain that W is β_h -closed set in M (since $f^{-1}(W)$ is β_h -closed set in M and f is β_h -closed set in M). Hence W^c is β_h -open set in M , since f is β_h -irresolute function, then $f^{-1}(W)$ is β_h -open set in M , whenever f is onto $(f^{-1}(W))^c = f^{-1}(W^c)$, we get $f^{-1}(W)$ is β_h -open set in M by Proposition (3.14). Then f is β_h -identification function.

Theorem 3.16 These statements hold true.

1-

Every

α_h -identification function is p_h -identification function

2-

Every p_h -identification function is h_h -identification function

3-

Every h_h -identification function is β_h -identification function

Proof. The proof is obvious.

Proposition 3.17

"The composition of two β_h -identification functions is β_h -identification function".

Proof. Let $f: M \rightarrow N$ and $g: N \rightarrow Y$ be β_h -identifications function, whenever the composition of two onto functions is surjective, let W be any β_h -open in Y since g, f are β_h -identifications function. Then $g^{-1}(W)$ is β_h -open in N , we have that $f^{-1}(g^{-1}(W)) = (g \circ f)^{-1}(W)$ is β_h -open in M , we get W is β_h -open set in M . Then $g \circ f$ is β_h -identification function.

Proposition 3.18

Let function $f: M \rightarrow N$ and $g: N \rightarrow Y$ be β_h -identification function. These statements are valid

1- If $g \circ f$ is β_h -cont. then g is β_h -cont.

2- If $g \circ f$ is β_h -irresolute then g is β_h -irresolute.

Proof

1- Let $f: M \rightarrow N$ be β_h -cont. and assume that k is any open set in Y , Let $V = g^{-1}(k)$ and $W = f^{-1}(V)$. We have $g \circ f^{-1}(k) = f^{-1}(g^{-1}(k)) = W$ is β_h -open set in M . Moreover $g \circ f^{-1}(k)$ is β_h -open in M , but f is β_h -identification function

then V is β_h -open. we get $g^{-1}(k)$ is β_h -open in $\mathcal{N}$ and g is β_h -cont.

2- Let k be any β_h -open set in Y and $V = f^{-1}(k)$ and $W = f^{-1}(V)$. We have $g \circ f^{-1}(k) = f^{-1}(g^{-1}(k)) = W$ that is W is β_h -open set in M , we obtain $g \circ f^{-1}(k)$ as β_h -open in M but f is β_h -identification function and V is β_h -open set in $\mathcal{N}$, hence g is β_h -irresolute.

Remark 3.19 From the above discussion and known results, we state the following implications.

$$\alpha_h\text{-identification} \rightarrow p_h\text{-identification}$$

$$\rightarrow h_h\text{-identification}$$

$$\rightarrow \beta_h\text{-identification}$$

Diagram (5)

References

- [1] A. A. Shihab, H.O.Mousa, R. A.Zaben, Hexa-Homeomorphisms in Hexa Topological Spaces, Periodicals of Engineering and Natural Sciences. acceptable for publication (2021).
- [2] A.S. Mashhour, M.E. Abd El-Monsef, S. N. El-Deeb, On precontinuous and weak precontinuous mappings, Pros. Math. Science Egypt 53 (1982), 47–53.
- [3] A. S.Mashhour, I. A. Hasonein, S. N. El-Deeb, α -Continuous and α -open Mapping, Acta. Math. Hungar 41 (1983), 213–218.
- [4] D. Andrijevic, on b -open sets, Mat. Vesnik, 48 (1996), 59–64.
- [5] J. C.Kelly, Bitopological Spaces, Proc. London Math. Soc., 3(1963), 17-89.
- [6] M. S. Khan, and G. A. Khan, p -Continuity and p -Homeomorphism in penta topological spaces, European International Journal of Science and Technology, Vol. 7, (2018), No. 5, ISSN: 2304-9693.
- [7] M. Kovar, On 3-Topological Version of Theta-Regularity, Internet. J. Math. Math. Sci., Vol., 23, (2000), No.6, 393-398.
- [8] M.E. AbdEl - Monsef, S.N. El-Deed, R.A. Mahmoud, β -open sets and β -continuous mappings, Bull. Fac. Sci. Assiut. Univ. 12 (1983), 77–90.
- [9] D. V. Mukundan, introduction to Quad topological spaces (4-tuple topology), International Journal of Scientific & Engineering Research, Volume 4, (2013), Issue 7, 2483-2485.
- [10] O. Njstad, On some classes of nearly open sets, Pacific. J. Math. 15 (1965), 961–970.
- [11] R.V. Chandra, V. Pushpalatha, introduction to Hexa Topological spaces (6-tuple topology), International Journal of Management, Technology And Engineering ISSN NO : 2249-7455, Volume X, Issue I, JANUARY (2020), 16-18.
- [12] S.H. Al-kutaibi, On some types of identification, Dept. of Mathematics University of Tikreet, Tikreet Univ. J. Sci. 4 (1998), 49.
- [13] S.N. Maheshwair, S.S. Thakur, α -irresolute Mapping, Tamkang J. Math. 11 (1980), 209–21.

دالة الهوية في فضاءات التبولوجية السداسية

أسماء صالح قدوري

قسم الرياضيات، كلية التربية للبنات، جامعة تكريت، تكريت، العراق

الملخص

الهدف الأساسي من العمل الحالي هو التركيز على تعاريف جديدة للمجموعات المفتوحة من نوع $(\alpha_h, p_h, h_h, \beta_h)$ في فضاءات التبولوجية السداسية، لتعميم تعاريف لدالة الهوية في فضاءات التبولوجية السداسية المسماة $(\alpha_h\text{-identification}, p_h\text{-identification}, h_h\text{-identification}, \beta_h\text{-identification})$ وقد تمت مناقشة العلاقة بين أنواع الدوال الهوية وإثباتها بالأمتثلة.