

High Efficiency (22.46) of Solar Cells Based on Perovskites

Zainab. R. Abdulsada
Physics dep./ College of Science/
University of Thi –Qar
Thi-Qar/ Iraq
zainab.alsada@utq.edu.iq

Zainab Ali Hussein
Physics dep./ College of Science/
University of Thi –Qar
Thi-Qar/ Iraq
Zainabalihussein4@gmail.com

Sally Basim Kadhim
Physics dep./ College of Science/
University of Thi –Qar
Thi-Qar/ Iraq
sallysa242@gmail.com

Samir M . AbdulMohsin
Physics dep./ College of Education for
Pure Science /University of Thi –Qar
Thi-Qar/ Iraq
samer.mahdi75@gmail.com

Hayder Saadoon Abdulaali
Faculty of Engineering and Built
Environment/ University Kebangsaan
Malaysia
Bangi/Selangor/ Malaysia
p110994@siswa.ukm.edu.my

Received: 2023-12-04, Revised: 2023-12-21, Accepted: 2023-12-21, Published: 2023-12-27

Abstract— In this research we have considered ($\text{CH}_3\text{NH}_3\text{PbX}_3$) ($\text{X}=\text{I}, \text{Cl}, \text{Br}$) as an absorber layer and $\text{Cu}_2\text{O}/\text{spiro-OMeTAD}/\text{P3hT}/\text{PEDOT:PSS}$ as (HTM) along with PCBM/TiO_2 as (ETM). It is a solid-state planar heterojunction p-i-n solar cell with low p-type-doped, $\text{CH}_3\text{NH}_3\text{PbX}_3$ sandwiched between the p-type is called HTM layer and n-type. SCAPS-1D simulation software is used for numerical simulation to examine the influence of various electrical factors on the efficiency $\text{PCBM}/\text{CH}_3\text{NH}_3\text{PbCl}_3/\text{P3hT}, \text{PCBM}/\text{CH}_3\text{NH}_3\text{PbI}_3/\text{P3hT}, \text{PCBM}/\text{MAPbCl}_3/\text{Cu}_2\text{O}, \text{TiO}_2/\text{MAPbCl}_3/\text{spiro-OMeTAD}, \text{TiO}_2/\text{MAPbI}_3/\text{spiro-OMeTAD}, \text{PCBM}/\text{CH}_3\text{NH}_3\text{PbBr}_3/\text{PEDOT:PSS}$, and $\text{PCBM}/\text{CH}_3\text{NH}_3\text{PbI}_3/\text{PEDOT:PSS}$ heterojunction-based perovskite solar cell structures. With these variables an efficiency of 22.46% was obtained at 263.15(k). By using SCAPS simulation software

Keywords—(Perovskite, efficiency, SCAPS-1D, Density of State, Fill Factor (FF))

I. INTRODUCTION

Photovoltaic (PV) devices convert solar radiation into power which is optimistic candidates to counterbalance carbon releases throughout providing a substitute way to meet up increasing require in energy utilization purity - crystalline silicon has achieved efficiencies (PCEs) above 26% [1] and long-term stability, making it an ideal manufactured goods for the promoter. However, emerging PV technologies based on thin films ($<1\ \mu\text{m}$). There are fantastic technique of thin film of solar cells which was promising established ones such as Si, CdTe, and GaAs [2,3]. Lead halide perovskite solar cells (PSCs) have selected as one such proposed. In a few years, PSCs have produced PCEs parallel to those of traditional CdTe solar cells, reached to the 22% in 2016 [4]. Despite the high efficiencies, PSCs face challenges such as long-term

durability that prevent them from competing with established technologies such as silicon solar cells in market Energy.. However, advancements in materials processing during the past 2 years have yielded remarkable progress in long-term material and device stability, enabling the research community to better recognize versus extrinsic degradation mechanisms, some of which are summarized here. Despite the rapid improvement in performance, there is wide space for reducing charge carrier recombination, both in the perovskites and at the interfaces within the device, to increase PCEs. Major challenges to long-term stability also remain.

Due to its utilizes of built-up, low cost of fabricate, excellent light-harvesting properties, and comparatively high performance, organic-inorganic hybrid perovskite solar cells have reached a lot of attention in the photovoltaic research community in recent years. This makes it more superior to other current solar cell materials. Lead-based perovskites ($\text{CH}_3\text{NH}_3\text{PbX}_3$, $\text{X}=\text{Cl}, \text{I}, \text{Br}$) Solar cells recently achieved a high efficiency more than 22%, far exceeding the efficiency of most thin film and organic solar cells. The poisonous presence of lead (Pb) poses a challenge for the commercialization availability of MAPbX_3 . So, numerical analysis will play a key role in dealing with these solar cell issues because numerical analysis allows for versatility in the design of practical problems and simple experiment with various hypotheses. Sometimes, a complete collection of device characteristics can be quickly produced with just short time and effort. As a result, numerical analysis was used to resume the design parameters of solar cells as well as the impact of solar cell physical parameters on solar cell efficiency. Solar cell numerical analysis is carried out using a variety of simulation applications.



Used the software the Solar cell capacitance simulator (SCAPS) program in this study; it is free and widely used in the research community. A numerical guide was suggested to improve the PCE of an experimentally developed solar cell in order to achieve successful design for powerful solar cells. According to the findings, the thickness of the absorber layer and interface defects have a significant impact on the PCE of a solar cell. Three primary layers sandwich the two conducting electrodes in perovskite-based solar cell. (The standard design of perovskite-based solar cell is as follows: Back electrode/ Hole Transport Material (HTM)/ Perovskite absorber / Electron Transport Material (ETM) / transparent electrode). In perovskite-based solar cells, electron-transport materials are also important. The results of a simulation analysis using the Solar Cell Capacitance Simulator as a simulation tool based on the position of the various components of the solar cell are discussed.

II. OPERATION THEORY

SCAPS-1D's core idea is to solve the equations of Poisson and continuity. Fig (1) illustrates the SCAPS-1D job technique. Any equation starts at the start of this period and uses the initial presumption that the quasi-Fermi level is used to achieve a balance. No lighting and voltage are added in this case [4].




Fig.(1) SCAPS-1D's Operational Strategy [4]

SCAPS performs numerical calculations using the convergence of the Gummel type iteration approach and the Newton-Raphson algorithm. After choosing a calculation point, SCAPS will do iterative computations using the Newton-Raphson method until the ideal result is attained. The key principle of the SCAPS-1D is to solve the Poisson equation for electrodes and holes and continuity equation [3].

$$\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} \varphi(x) = \frac{q}{\epsilon} [n(x) - p(x) - N_D^+(x) + N_A^-(x) - p_t(x) + n_t(x)] \quad (1)$$

$$\begin{aligned} q \frac{\partial n}{\partial t} &= \frac{\partial J_n}{\partial x} + qG - qR \\ q \frac{\partial p}{\partial t} &= -\frac{\partial J_p}{\partial x} + qG - qR \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

$$\begin{aligned} J_n &= qn\mu_n \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial x} + qD_n \frac{\partial n}{\partial x} \\ J_p &= -qp\mu_p \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial x} + qD_p \frac{\partial p}{\partial x} \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

where, q is the elementary charge
 φ is the potential, ϵ is the permittivity
 n is the density of free electron, p is the density of free hole
 N_D^+ is the ionised donor-like doping density
 N_A^- is the ionized acceptor-like doping density
 p_t is the trapped hole density
 n_t is the trapped electron density
 G is the optical generation rate
 R is the recombination rate
 D_n is the electron diffusion coefficient
 D_p is the hole diffusion coefficient
 μ_n is the electron mobility, and μ_p is the hole mobility.

III. CHARACTERIZATION CRITERIA FOR SOLAR CELLS

The peak power, P_{max} , (J_{sc}), (V_{oc}), and (FF) are the primary metrics used to assess the efficiency of solar cells. The illuminated J-V characteristic curve, as shown in Figure (2), is used to determine these parameters. These parameters are used to calculate the conversion efficiency(η). For a simple p-n junction device. Figure (2) displays the usual characteristics of a solar cell's (J - V) (current-voltage) under lighting The highest power point is the point on the J-V curve (J_{mpp} , V_{mpp}) where the cell's power output is the greatest.




Fig.(2)(J_{mpp} , V_{mpp}) characteristics of a solar cell under illumination.

The solar cell's efficiency (PCE) ratio is the high output ratio ($P_{out} = J_{mpp}V_{mpp}$) to power input (P_{in}).

IV. MODEL OF THE DEVICE

SCAPS-1D software is used to create the device model. Figure (3) depicts the configuration of the perovskite solar cell employed in this simulation. It is a four-layered planar heterojunction structure.




Fig.(3) Perovskite solar cell structure

Typically, there are two fundamental device structures: normal and inverted. The overall cell structure is made up of many layers that are packed on top of the FTO glass. The SCAPS parameters for each layer and interface must be specified here. It must be established. The simulation parameters are based on practical work and theoretical research. Table (1) (a) and (b) summarize the major material and defect parameters.

Table 1(a): The numerical analysis of materials parameters [5]

parameters	FTO	MAPbBr ₃	MAPbI ₃	MAPbCl ₃	PCBM	TiO ₂
Band gap(eV)	3.500	2.330	1.550	1.550	2.000	3.200
Electron affinity (eV)	4.000	3.700	3.750	3.900	3.900	4.100
Dielectric permittivity	9.000	7.500	6.500	6.500	3.900	9.000
CB effective density of states (1/cm ³)	2.200E+18	1.000E+21	2.200E+13	2.200E+18	2.540E+21	2.200E+18
VB effective density of states (1/cm ³)	1.800E+19	1.000E+12	2.200E+12	1.800E+19	2.540E+21	1.000E+19
Electron thermal velocity(cm/s)	2.000E+7	1.000E+7	1.000E+7	3.000E+7	2.000E-2	1.000E+7
Hole thermal velocity(cm/s)	1.000E+7	1.000E+7	1.000E+7	3.000E+7	2.000E-2	1.000E+7
Electron mobility (cm ² /V.s)	2.000E+1	2.400E+1	2.000E+0	1.600E+0	3.400E+2	2.000E+1
Hole mobility (cm ² /V.s)	1.000E+1	2.400E+1	2.000E+0	2.000E-1	1.000E+1	1.000E+1

Table 1(b): HTM layer material parameters [5]

parameters	FTO	MAPbBr ₃	MAPbI ₃	MAPbCl ₃	PCBM	TiO ₂
Band gap(eV)	3.500	2.330	1.550	1.550	2.000	3.200
Electron affinity (eV)	4.000	3.700	3.750	3.900	3.900	4.100
Dielectric permittivity	9.000	7.500	6.500	6.500	3.900	9.000
CB effective density of states (1/cm ³)	2.200E+18	1.000E+21	2.200E+13	2.200E+18	2.540E+21	2.200E+18
VB effective density of states (1/cm ³)	1.800E+19	1.000E+12	2.200E+12	1.800E+19	2.540E+21	1.000E+19
Electron thermal velocity(cm/s)	2.000E+7	1.000E+7	1.000E+7	3.000E+7	2.000E-2	1.000E+7
Hole thermal velocity(cm/s)	1.000E+7	1.000E+7	1.000E+7	3.000E+7	2.000E-2	1.000E+7
Electron mobility (cm ² /V.s)	2.000E+1	2.400E+1	2.000E+0	1.600E+0	3.400E+2	2.000E+1
Hole mobility (cm ² /V.s)	1.000E+1	2.400E+1	2.000E+0	2.000E-1	1.000E+1	1.000E+1

The values of the most relevant cell parameters required for the simulation are shown in Table (1). These numbers have been chosen based on reasonable forecasts of theoretical considerations, experimental data, and current literature. The majority of the absorber characteristics and the thicknesses of the other layers were obtained from the literature [5]

A. Electron Transporting Materials

TiO₂ and PCBM are semiconducting materials that can also collect some of the solar spectrum. Because of their appropriate band gap, electron affinity, wide surface area, simple abundance, and processability, they are used in solar cells for the transportation of photoelectrons and electrons (ETM). The simulation work in this regard focuses on a comparative examination of perovskite with two distinct transparent conducting (TiO₂ and PCBM) as ETMs. This research aids in the refinement and development of the existing module, as well as the realization of the function of two independent optoelectronic ETMs. Very close results were achieved when using TiO₂, PCBM as ETM layer. The results of TiO₂ were slightly better than PCBM, as shown in Table (2).

Table (2): The highest efficiencies for different HTM and ETM

parameters	Spiro-OMeTAD	Cu ₂ O	PEDOT:PSS	P3HT
Band gap(eV)	3.200	2.17	2.200	2.000
Electron affinity (eV)	2.100	3.20	2.900	3.200
Dielectric permittivity	3.000	7.11	3.000	3.000
CB effective density of states (1/cm ³)	2.500E+18	2.02E+17	2.200E+15	1.000E+20
VB effective density of states (1/cm ³)	1.800E+19	1.10E+19	1.800E+18	1.000E+20
Electron thermal velocity(cm/s)	1.000E+7	1.000E+7	1.000E+7	3.700E+6
Hole thermal velocity(cm/s)	1.000E+7	1.000E+7	1.000E+7	2.000E+7
Electron mobility (cm ² /V.s)	2.000E-4	2.000E+2	1.000E+1	1.000E-4
Hole mobility (cm ² /V.s)	2.000E-4	8.000E+18	1.000E+1	1.000E+0

Therefore, it was decided to adopt the results of the TiO₂ simulation only for the discussion.

With increasing thickness, the solar cell characteristics, particularly J_{sc} and PCE, increase more sharply up to 500 nm and very slowly beyond 600 nm. The results reveal that a thickness of 500 nm is also enough for effective photovoltaic activity. Because the absorber is the most important component of the solar cell, the quantity of light hitting it, the absorption range, and the absorber thickness all influence the pace of photogeneration of charge carriers. Due to a rise in series resistance, photovoltaic performance steadily improves beyond this thickness to 700 nm in both cases. TiO₂ is shown to be more sensitive than PCBM. VOC being almost constant suggests the least amount of recombination in perovskite. At 400 nm absorber thickness, the solar cell performance in the case of TiO₂ as ETM is PCE =22.46 percent, VOC =1.0577 V, JSC =28.070267 mA/cm², FF =75.64 percent

B. A Comparison Of Different Hole Transporting Materials

Cu₂O, P3HT, Spiro-MeOTAD and PEDOT:PSS are P-type semiconductors. They all have a pretty large band gap. Cu₂O, P3HT, Spiro-MeOTAD, and PEDOT:PSS have band gap energies of 2.17, 2.0, 3.2, and 2.20, respectively. As shown in Fig(4), all P3HT, TiO₂, and MAPbX₃ have a suitable valence band location, and their valence band offset values are less than 0.1 eV. Furthermore, Cu₂O has a high

hole mobility of up to 80 cm². V-1S-1, for the reasons stated above, it is considered that all of them are possible alternative materials that can be used in HTM layers. The simulations are performed here utilizing four different types of hole carrying materials (p₃ht, PEDOT:PSS, Cu₂O, and Spiro-MeOTAD).




Fig. (4): Energy level diagram of TiO₂,p₃ht and perovskite in the device.

The findings of the simulation are seen in Fig. (5) and Table(4) Fig. (5) shows J-V properties for perovskite solar cells with various HTM layers, such as Cu₂O, P₃hT, PEDOT:PSS and Spiro-MeOTAD . Table (3) displays the device performance data for several HTM layers, including Isc, Voc, FF, and PCE. The simulation findings clearly demonstrate that the device using P₃hT as the HTM outperforms Cu₂O, PEDOT:PSS, and Spiro-MeOTAD. The PCE of the gadget hits 22.46 percent when P₃hT is used as the HTM. P₃hT also has a relatively high Jsc of 28.070267 mA/cm² and Voc of 1.0577 V . These simulation findings demonstrate that P₃hT is a likely material to be employed as a hole conveyance material.

Table(3): Device performance for different HTMs

HTM layer	V _{oc} (v)	J _{sc} (mA/cm ²)	FF%	PCE%
Cu ₂ O	1.1250	25.846588	71.13	20.68
spiro-OMeTAD	1.1212	25.957705	71.36	20.77
P ₃ hT	1.0577	28.070267	75.64	22.46
PEDOT:PSS	1.0902	26.963924	70.92	20.85




Figure (5): J-V characteristics for different HTMs

C. The Effect of Thickness of the Perovskite Layer on the Solar Cell

The thickness of the perovskite has been changed from 100nm to 1300nm, with the HTM layer being Cu₂O/spiro-OMeTAD/P₃hT/PEDOT:PSS and the ETM layer being PCBM/TiO₂. It has been discovered that increasing the perovskite layer thickness increases the efficiency of the solar cell up to a specific point, which is thought to be the ideal thickness for the solar cell, and that doing so beyond that point decreases the efficiency.

According to the modeling, a thickness of 600nm–700nm will be adequate to almost absorb AM 1.5G radiation. As illustrated, a thick coating of 650 nm absorber is appropriate for high PCE in perovskite solar cells. It was the lowest perovskite efficiency of MAPbBr₃ due to its large band gap (2.33). As in the table and figure below.

Table (4): device parameters for different HTM layer for MAPbBr₃

HTM layer	V _{oc} (v)	J _{sc} (mA/cm ²)	FF%	PCE%
Cu ₂ O	0.2103	14.090323	38.03	11.27
spiro-OMeTAD	0.3518	11.765198	22.73	9.41
P ₃ hT	0.2298	14.405182	34.80	11.52
PEDOT:PSS	0.4716	8.863652	16.86	7.05




Fig.(6): showing the low MAPbBr₃ efficiency with the difference of HTL.

From the figure, we can see that the highest efficiency we got was when using P₃hT as HTL and it was (11.52). As for MAPbCl₃ and MAPbI₃, their results were very close, and the reason for that is the similarity of the band gap for them. As shown in Figure (7).




Figure (7): The variation of J_{sc} , PCE, FF, V_{oc} versus the thickness of $MAPbI_3$, $MAPbCl_3$

As seen in Figure (7), J_{sc} increases with thickness, which is attributable to the production of additional electron-hole pairs in the perovskite, resulting in an improvement in efficiency. $MAPbI_3$ has the maximum efficiency of 22.46 percent. However, efficiency stability or a tiny increase in the thicker absorber layer is owing to a lower electric field, which changes the charge carriers' recombination behavior within the absorber.

VI. CONCLUSIONS

In this study, the thickness of the perovskite layer (from 100 to 1300 nm), we altered the electron transporting materials, thickness of the Perovskite Layer and reached the highest efficiency of 22.46% and $J_{SC}=28.070267\text{mA/cm}^2$ at thickness (200 nm for TiO_2 , 100 nm for $P3hT$, 700 nm for $MAPbI_3$), and we found that the optimum value of efficiency corresponding to value of VB and CB ($CB=2.20E13$, $VB=2.200E12$ for $CH_3NH_3PbI_3$) at temperature 263.15 K

CONFLICT OF INTEREST

Authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

REFERENCE

- [1].S. Zheng, G. Wang, T. Liu, L. Lou, S. Xiao, S. Yang; 2019;Materials and structures for the electron transport layer of efficient and stable perovskite solar cells. *Sci. China Chem.* 62(2019) 800 .809–<https://doi.org/10.1007/s11426->. [Online]
- [2] X. Zeng *et al.*, “Performance improvement of perovskite solar cells by employing a CdSe quantum dot/PCBM composite as an electron transport layer,” *J. Mater. Chem. A*, vol. 5, no. 33, pp. 17499–17505, 2017.
- [3] [K. Mahmood, S. Sarwar, M.T. Mehran; 2017;Current status of electron transport layers in perovskite solar cells. : materials and properties, *RSC Adv.* 7(2017) 17044–17062. <https://doi.org/10.1039/c7ra00002b>. [Online]
- [4] Niemgeers,A., Burgelman, M., Decock, K., Verschraegen, J., & Degraeve, S .,SCAPS manual. University of Gent., (2014).
- [5] J. Tian *et al.*, “Enhanced performance of CdS/CdSe quantum dot cosensitized solar cells via homogeneous distribution of quantum dots in TiO_2 film,” *J. Phys. Chem. C*, vol. 116, no. 35, pp. 18655–18662, 2012.
- [6] S. Kirchmeyer and K. Reuter, “Scientific importance, properties and growing applications of poly (3, 4-ethylenedioxythiophene),” *J. Mater. Chem.*, vol. 15, no. 21, pp. 2077–2088, 2005.
- [7] J. Kim and S. L. Chuang, “Theoretical and experimental study of optical gain, refractive index change, and linewidth enhancement factor of p-doped quantum-dot lasers,” *IEEE J. Quantum Electron.*, vol. 42, no. 9, pp. 942–952, 2006.
- [8] S. Mou, J. V Li, and S. L. Chuang, “Quantum efficiency analysis of InAs–GaSb type-II superlattice photodiodes,” *IEEE J. Quantum Electron.*, vol. 45, no. 6, pp. 737–743, 2009.
- [9] Farhana Anwar, Rafee Mahbub, Sakin Sarwar Satter, and Saeed Mahmud Ullah., “Effect of Different HTM Layers and Electrical Parameters on ZnO Nanorod-Based Lead-Free Perovskite Solar Cell for High-Efficiency Performance,”. *Hindawi International Journal of Photoenergy*, 2017.
- [10] M. F. Hossain, M. Faisal, and H. Okada, "Device modeling and performance analysis of perovskite solar cells based on similarity with inorganic thin film solar cells structure," in *2016 2nd International Conference on Electrical, Computer & Telecommunication Engineering (ICECTE)*, 2016, pp. 1-4.
- [11] Noel *et al.* “Lead-Free Organic-Inorganic Tin Halide Perovskites for Photovoltaic Applications,”. *Energy Environ. Sci.*, vol. 7, pp. 3061–3068, 2014.
- [12] Liu *et al.* “Numerical simulation: Toward the design of high-efficiency planar perovskite solar cells,” . *Appl. Phys. Lett.*, vol. 253508, no. 104, 2014.
- [13] Hansong Xue *et al.* .,“Correlating variability of modeling parameters with photovoltaic performance: Monte Carlo simulation of a meso-structured perovskite solar cell,”. *Applied Energy*,2019.
- [14] F. Behrouznejad, S. Shahbazi, N. Taghavinia, H.-P. Wu, andE. W.-G. Diao., “A study on utilizing different metals as the back contact of $CH_3NH_3PbI_3$ perovskite solar cells,” . *Journal of Materials Chemistry A*, vol. 4, pp. 13488–13498, 2016.
- [15] P. Qin, S. Tanaka, S. Ito *et al.*, “Inorganic hole conductor-based lead halide perovskite solar cells with 12.4% conversion efficiency” . *Nature Communications*, vol. 5, no. 3834, 2014.